

One class of solutions with two invariant relations for the problem of motion of the Kowalevski top in double constant field*

Mikhail P. Kharlamov

05.11.2002

Abstract

Consider a rigid body having a fixed point in a superposition of two constant force fields (for example, gravitational and magnetic). Introducing the condition of Kowalevski type, O.I. Bogoyavlensky (1984) has found the first integral generalizing that of Kowalevski and pointed out the integrable case with two invariant relations, which reduces to the 1st Appelrot class when one of the fields vanishes. The article presents a new case with two invariant relations integrable in Jacobi sense and generalizing the 2nd and 3rd classes of Appelrot.

Introduction. Investigating the problems of classical mechanics with n degrees of freedom, one distinguishes the notions of Liouville and Jacobi integrability. In the first case we have n independent first integrals in involution and the corresponding Hamiltonian system of $2n$ ordinary differential equations can be derived (in theory) to a "simple" flow on an n -dimensional surface. The second case appears when the equations have $2n - 2$ independent first integrals. Then the solution of the problem is reduced to integrating of two differential equations having Jacobi's last multiplier (in contemporary terms—to the system with invariant measure on a two-dimensional torus). Obviously if $n = 2$ these two cases are the same. The formal equivalence of the notions takes place if among n involutive integrals one has $n - 2$ integrals generated by symmetries of the potential and kinetic energy. Then by ignoring the corresponding features of motion the problem is reduced to a Hamiltonian system with two degrees of freedom of natural structure (the phase space is the coordinate–velocity space, the Lagrange function is quadratic w.r.t. velocity components). The typical integral manifolds of the reduced system are two-dimensional tori and the trajectories are quasi-periodic.

If we really want to imagine the motion in all details, then, as a result of our three-dimensional way of reasoning, we prefer the Jacobi integrability. Indeed in the case of symmetries only the solutions of the reduced system are double-periodic. The motions of the initial system remain essentially n -dimensional. Let us for brevity call this situation a reducible problem. Jacobi integrability means that the trajectories of the initial mechanical system fill some two-dimensional surfaces, which can be easily represented in $\mathbf{R}^3$. The projections of such trajectories onto the spaces having physical sense (say, the hodographs of the angular velocity or the traces of the vertical vector in the moving frame) can be studied in reality.

*First published in Russian: Mekh. Tverd. Tela 32(2002), pp. 32-38

The general integrability cases in the dynamics of a rigid body belong to the reducible problems. They are conditionally interpreted as two-dimensional by ignoring the precession part of motion. But the trajectories describing the evolution of the orientation matrix in the general case still fill a three-dimensional torus. This is why the complete classification of the immovable hodographs of the angular velocity and based on it complete geometrical simulation of motion seem to be extremely complicated problems. Moreover, considering non-symmetric force fields we loose hope to find the possibility of any natural reduction to two-dimensional configuration spaces.

Thus, much attention is payed to the cases of Jacobi integrability of the full system of equations of a rigid body motion. These are the cases when the integral manifolds of this system are two-dimensional. For the reducible problems the corresponding solutions of the Euler–Poisson equations would be singular periodic motions of elliptic or hyperbolic type. Such solutions are called partial. Given the body characteristics, the partial solution may be the set of closed orbits representing, in the reduced system, a family of two-periodic motions of the body. Many partial solutions were found lately with the method of invariant relations [1]. To describe a partial solution (one-dimensional invariant manifold in 6-dimensional space of the Euler–Poisson variables) one has to find, in addition to three known energy, area, and geometrical integrals, two independent invariant relations.

If the force field has no symmetries, then the full system of Euler–Poisson equations contain 12 variables and admit 7 independent integrals, namely, the energy integral and 6 geometrical integrals. Therefore, to obtain the Jacobi integrability one has to find three invariant relations. In this paper we present such a solution for the problem of the rigid body motion in two constant fields.

1. Main equations. Consider the problem of motion of a rigid body about a fixed point in the potential force field with a force function of the type

$$(\mathbf{e}_1, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) + (\mathbf{e}_2, \boldsymbol{\beta}). \quad (1)$$

Here $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the scalar product, the vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ are fixed in the body, and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$ are immovable in inertial space. If $\boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$ (or $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$, which is the same) we come to the classical problem of motion of a heavy rigid body. The force function (1) arises, for example, in the problems of motion of a magnetized body with a fixed magnetic momentum in constant gravitational and magnetic fields, or an electrically charged body (with immovable charges in it) in constant gravitational and electric fields. Given that $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \boldsymbol{\beta} \neq 0$, the orientation matrix of the body and acting forces are completely defined by the components, with respect to the moving frame, of the pair $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$. Therefore, the configuration space of the problem (the group of the orthogonal 3×3 -matrices) cannot be reduced to a space of less dimension, and this is a principal difference with the case of one field. The corresponding equations

$$\mathbf{I}\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \mathbf{I}\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\omega} + \mathbf{e}_1 \times \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{e}_2 \times \boldsymbol{\beta}, \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}, \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \boldsymbol{\omega} \quad (3)$$

can be considered as equations in $\mathbf{R}^9$ with three geometrical integrals

$$(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = a^2, \quad (\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = b^2, \quad (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = c. \quad (4)$$

Eqs. (2),(3) have the energy integral

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{I}\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) - (\mathbf{e}_1, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) - (\mathbf{e}_2, \boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

In general case, there is no linear integral of the area integral type.

Choose the principal axes of the inertia tensor $\mathbf{I}$ for the moving frame, then

$$\mathbf{I} = \text{diag}(A_1, A_2, A_3).$$

In the sequel, it is convenient to consider the vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ orthonormal, and include all characteristic multipliers into the parameters a, b, c of relations (4). Take $\sqrt{A_3/u_0}$ as the time unit (u_0 is some common unit of measurement for the components of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$). Formally it is equivalent to the choice $A_3 = 1$. We can use u_0 to bring one of the constants a, b, c to 1. However, we prefer to keep notation (4) for some natural symmetry in the formulas below.

In the analogue of the Kowalevski case

$$A_1 = A_2 = 2A_3, \quad \mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0).$$

the Euler equations take the form

$$2\dot{\omega}_1 = \omega_2\omega_3 + \beta_3, \quad 2\dot{\omega}_2 = -\omega_1\omega_3 - \alpha_3, \quad \dot{\omega}_3 = \alpha_2 - \beta_1. \quad (5)$$

They are closed by the Poisson equations (3).

O.I. Bogoyavlensky [2] showed that Eqs. (3), (5) have the first integral of the Kowalevski type

$$K = J_1^2 + J_2^2, \quad (6)$$

where

$$J_1 = \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + \alpha_1 - \beta_2, \quad J_2 = 2\omega_1\omega_2 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1,$$

and pointed out that on the zero level of the integral (6),

$$J_1 = 0, \quad J_2 = 0, \quad (7)$$

there exists a new partial integral, namely,

$$J_3 = (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)\omega_3 + 2(\omega_1\alpha_3 + \omega_2\beta_3),$$

the constant of which is arbitrary.

Thus, the system of invariant relations (7) defines the four-dimensional manifold M^4 ; this manifold is independent of integration constants. The induced (not reduced) system on it has two first integrals

$$H = h, \quad J_3 = j \quad (8)$$

with arbitrary constants h, j . Therefore the initial system has a partial case of Jacobi integrability. The system thus obtained on M^4 can be represented in the Hamiltonian form [2], but M^4 does not have a structure of a phase space of mechanical system (coordinate-velocity structure). The topology of M^4 and of two-dimensional integral manifolds (8) is studied in the work [3]. The Bogoyavlensky solution generalized the classical case of N.B. Delone.

2. New solution with two invariant relations. Below the term "derivative" will mean differentiating functions of the variables $\omega_i, \alpha_j, \beta_k$ in virtue of Eqs. (3), (5), i.e., the time-derivative along trajectories.

Note that the derivative, in the above sense, of any expression not containing ω_3 , is linear in ω_3 . Suppose that some function F is linear in ω_3 and its derivative does not depend on ω_3 . Then there is a chance that the second derivative of F , being linear in ω_3 , can appear to be proportional to F , thus closing the sequence of differentiations and generating the invariant relation in the definition of the work [1].

Following the idea of S.Kowalevski [4] of using complex variables, introduce the change of variables ($i^2 = -1$):

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= (\alpha_1 - \beta_2) + i(\alpha_2 + \beta_1), & x_2 &= (\alpha_1 - \beta_2) - i(\alpha_2 + \beta_1), \\ y_1 &= (\alpha_1 + \beta_2) + i(\alpha_2 - \beta_1), & y_2 &= (\alpha_1 + \beta_2) - i(\alpha_2 - \beta_1), \\ z_1 &= \alpha_3 + i\beta_3, & z_2 &= \alpha_3 - i\beta_3, \\ w_1 &= \omega_1 + i\omega_2, & w_2 &= \omega_1 - i\omega_2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Denoting the derivative with respect to $\tau = it$ by the prime, we obtain from (3), (5)

$$\begin{aligned} x'_1 &= -x_1w_3 + z_1w_1, & x'_2 &= x_2w_3 - z_2w_2, \\ y'_1 &= -y_1w_3 + z_2w_1, & y'_2 &= y_2w_3 - z_1w_2, \\ 2z'_1 &= x_1w_2 - y_2w_1, & 2z'_2 &= -x_2w_1 + y_1w_2, \\ 2w'_1 &= -(w_1w_3 + z_1), & 2w'_2 &= w_2w_3 + z_2, & 2\omega'_3 &= y_2 - y_1. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let

$$\theta = x_1x_2, \quad Q_1 = x_2z_1w_1 + x_1z_2w_2, \quad Q_2 = x_2z_1w_1 - x_1z_2w_2. \quad (11)$$

Then $\theta' = Q_2$, $Q'_1 = \frac{1}{2}Q_2\omega_3 + \dots$, where "... " stands for the terms not depending on ω_3 . Construct the combination

$$\theta^m\omega_3 - \theta^nQ_1.$$

In its derivative, the coefficient of ω_3 is equal to

$$m\theta^{m-1}\theta' - \frac{1}{2}\theta^nQ_2 = (m\theta^{m-1} - \frac{1}{2}\theta^n)Q_2$$

and vanishes if $m = \frac{1}{2}, n = -\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, the following function becomes "suspicious" in the sense of invariant relation generating,

$$F_1 = \sqrt{x_1x_2}\omega_3 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1x_2}}(x_2z_1w_1 + x_1z_2w_2).$$

Calculate its derivative in virtue of (10),

$$\frac{dF_1}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x_1x_2}} \left[\frac{x_2}{x_1}(z_1^2 + x_1y_2)(w_1^2 + x_1) - \frac{x_1}{x_2}(z_2^2 + x_2y_1)(w_2^2 + x_2) \right]. \quad (12)$$

Note that the geometrical integrals (4) imply

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^2 + x_1y_2 &= (a^2 - b^2) + 2ic = c_1 = \text{const}, \\ z_2^2 + x_2y_1 &= (a^2 - b^2) - 2ic = c_2 = \text{const}. \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the following notation

$$U_1 = \frac{x_2}{x_1}c_1(w_1^2 + x_1), \quad U_2 = \frac{x_1}{x_2}c_2(w_2^2 + x_2), \quad U_2 = \overline{U_1} \quad (13)$$

and calculate the derivatives of (13),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dU_1}{d\tau} &= \frac{c_1}{x_1^2}(w_1^2 + x_1)[x_1x_2\omega_3 - (x_2z_1w_1 + x_1z_2w_2)], \\ \frac{dU_2}{d\tau} &= -\frac{c_2}{x_2^2}(w_2^2 + x_2)[x_1x_2\omega_3 - (x_2z_1w_1 + x_1z_2w_2)], \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}(U_1 - U_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1 x_2}} \left[\frac{c_1}{x_1^2} (w_1^2 + x_1) + \frac{c_2}{x_2^2} (w_2^2 + x_2) \right] \left(\sqrt{x_1 x_2} \omega_3 - \frac{x_2 z_1 w_1 + x_1 z_2 w_2}{\sqrt{x_1 x_2}} \right). \quad (14)$$

Denote $F_2 = U_1 - U_2$ and rewrite (12) and (14) in the form

$$\frac{dF_1}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x_1 x_2}} F_2, \quad \frac{dF_2}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1 x_2}} (U_1 + U_2) F_1.$$

Hence the system of relations

$$F_1 = 0, \quad F_2 = 0 \quad (15)$$

defines the invariant submanifold of the phase space of Eqs. (10). Given the geometrical identities (4) depending only on the body parameters and the force fields, this manifold has dimension 4. Denote it by N^4 .

Note that the expressions θ and Q_1 in (11), (13) are real, while $U_1 - U_2$ is purely imaginary. Hence Eqs. (15) can be written in the form

$$x_1 x_2 \omega_3 - 2\operatorname{Re}(x_2 z_1 w_1) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Im}[x_2^2 c_1 (w_1^2 + x_1)] = 0.$$

Substitution (9) leads to the invariant relations expressed in the initial variables

$$\begin{aligned} & (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) \omega_3 - 2[(\xi_1 \omega_1 + \xi_2 \omega_2) \alpha_3 + (\xi_2 \omega_1 - \xi_1 \omega_2) \beta_3] = 0, \\ & 2[c(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) - (a^2 - b^2) \xi_1 \xi_2] (\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + \xi_1) + \\ & + [(a^2 - b^2)(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) + 4c \xi_1 \xi_2] (2\omega_1 \omega_2 + \xi_2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here

$$\xi_1 = \alpha_1 - \beta_2, \quad \xi_2 = \alpha_2 + \beta_1.$$

The equations of motion restricted to the manifold N^4 defined by (16) have two independent first integrals

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_3^2 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2 \equiv h, \\ K &= (\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + \alpha_1 - \beta_2)^2 + (2\omega_1 \omega_2 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1)^2 \equiv k. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The singular points of the initial system (2), (3) in this problem correspond to the body equilibria; there exists only four such points. It follows from dynamics theorems that, if the common level (17) does not contain any singular points and the gradients of H and K are linearly independent, then each connected component of (17) is a two-dimensional torus and the trajectories on it satisfy the differential equations having the last Jacobi multiplier. Therefore, by the time change, these trajectories can be transformed to quasi-periodic ones.

As a result we have pointed out the two-parametric family (arbitrary h and k) of two-periodic motions of the rigid body in double constant field under the conditions of Kowalevski type.

3. The classical analogue. Suppose that in the considered problem $\beta = 0$ (the second field vanishes). Then we obtain the case of S. Kowalevski [4]. The reduced phase space of the Euler–Poisson variables has dimension 5. The invariant relations (16) take the form

$$(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) \omega_3 - 2(\alpha_1 \omega_1 + \alpha_2 \omega_2) \alpha_3 = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + \alpha_1) - (\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2) (2\omega_1 \omega_2 + \alpha_2) = 0 \quad (19)$$

By means of the appropriate choice of the measurement unit u_0 make $(\alpha, \alpha) = 1$. Write (19) in the form

$$\frac{\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + \alpha_1}{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2} = \frac{2\omega_1\omega_2 + \alpha_2}{2\alpha_1\alpha_2}$$

and substitute to the Kowalevski integral to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + \alpha_1 &= \frac{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2} \sqrt{k}, \\ 2\omega_1\omega_2 + \alpha_2 &= \frac{2\alpha_1\alpha_2}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2} \sqrt{k}.\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

Recall the classical area integral

$$L = \alpha_1\omega_1 + \alpha_2\omega_2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_3\omega_3 \equiv \ell.$$

Calculate the combination $\Psi = 2L^2 - H$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &= -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)\left[\omega_3 - 2\frac{\alpha_1\omega_1 + \alpha_2\omega_2}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}\right]^2 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}[(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2)(\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + \alpha_1) + 2\alpha_1\alpha_2(2\omega_1\omega_2 + \alpha_2)].\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

Under the conditions (18) and (20) the last expression turns into the following

$$2\ell^2 - h = \sqrt{k}.\tag{22}$$

Here the value $\sqrt{k}$ is algebraic. Eq. (22) defines the 2nd and 3rd classes of motions by the definition of G.G. Appelrot [5, 6]. Analyzing the structure of (21) and (22), we conclude that the three-dimensional manifold (18), (19) is exactly the set of critical points of the combined first integral $(2L^2 - H)^2 - K$. In particular, one of the classic integrals on this manifold becomes redundant. The other two define the closed orbits. These are, naturally, the solutions on which one of the Kowalevski variables remains constant. Emphasize that in the full phase space including precession the corresponding motions are two-periodic for almost all integral constants under the condition defined by (22).

Therefore the family of motions (16) found in this paper generalizes the so-called *especially remarkable* motions of the 2nd and 3rd Appelrot classes.

4. Remarks. After this paper was published in Russian journal *Mekhanika tverdogo tela*, 2002, N 32, the author and A.Y. Savushkin have received the separation of variables for the class of motions found here [7]. This separation provided the explicit solutions in elliptic Jacobi functions and gave the possibility to completely investigate the phase topology of the case [8]. In connection with the investigation of the set of critical points and the arising bifurcation diagrams of the momentum mapping of the Kowalevski top in double constant field, it was proved by the author in [9] that there exists only one more case of Jacobi integrability, and for this new case the separation of variables was found in [10] leading to hyperelliptic quadratures.

References

- [1] P.V. Kharlamov, On invariant relations of a system of differential equations, *Mekh. tverd. tela*, 1974, 6, pp. 15-24. (In Russian)

- [2] O.I. Bogoyavlensky, Euler equations on finite-dimension Lie algebras arising in physical problems, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 1984, **95**, pp. 307-315.
- [3] D.B. Zotev, Fomenko-Zieschang invariant in the Bogoyavlenskyi case, *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, 2000, **5** (4), pp. 437-458.
- [4] S. Kowalevski, Sur le probleme de la rotation d'un corps solide autour d'un point fixe, *Acta Mathematica*, 1889, **2**, pp. 177-232.
- [5] G.G. Appelrot, Non-completely symmetric heavy gyroscopes, In: Motion of a rigid body about a fixed point, Collection of papers in memory of S.V. Kovalevskaya. Moscow-Leningrad, 1940, pp. 61-156. (In Russian)
- [6] A.F. Ipatov, Motion of the Kovalevskaya gyroscope on the boundary of the ultra-elliptic region, *Sci. Bulletin of Petrozavodsk Univ.*, 1970, **18** (2), pp. 6-93. (In Russian)
- [7] M.P. Kharlamov, A.Y. Savushkin, Explicit integration of one problem of motion of the generalized Kowalevski top, *Mechanics Research Communications*, 2005, **32**, pp. 547-552. arXiv:0803.0857v1 [nlin.SI]
- [8] M.P. Kharlamov, A.Y. Savushkin, Separation of variables and integral manifolds in one problem of motion of generalized Kowalevski top, *Ukrainian Mathematical Bulletin*, 2004, **1** (4), pp. 569-586. arXiv:0803.0882v1 [nlin.SI]
- [9] M.P. Kharlamov, Bifurcation diagrams of the Kowalevski top in two constant fields, *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, 2005, **10** (4), pp. 381-398. arXiv:0803.0893v1 [nlin.SI]
- [10] M.P. Kharlamov, Separation of variables in the generalized 4th Appelrot class, *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, 2007, **12** (3), pp. 267-280. arXiv:0803.1024v1 [nlin.SI]